Office of Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service

memorandum

CC:LM:MCT:DET:POSTF121018-02 MTHammoud

date: Mey 17, בסכב

to: Team Coordinator

from: Associate Area Counsel, LMSB, Detroit, Michigan

subject: Inc., NOL Carryback

This memorandum responds to your request for assistance regarding the above subject. This memorandum should not be cited as precedent.

ISSUE

Whether the taxpayer may amend its timely filed claims for refund after the statute of limitations has expired.

CONCLUSION

A timely filed original claim for refund may be amended after expiration of the statute of limitations when the amendment is based on the same facts stated in the original claim and requires no additional investigation. Accordingly, the taxpayer may amend its claims, so long as the amendments do not raise any new issues.

FACTS

	Inc., hereinafter re	<u>eferred to</u> as t	he taxpayer, thro	ough its w	holly owned
subsidiary, the			pany, owns the		
<u> </u>		The	Co <u>mpa</u>	any (operates the
			a	co	mpany wholly
owned by	, operates the		Th	ne consol	idated income
tax returns filed	by the taxpayer for	or the East ,	and ta	x years re	eflected net
operating losse	es. ¹				

Only the tax year is relevant to the issue discussed in this memorandum.

Over the years, the taxpayer also filed several claims for tentative refunds, Form 1139, and amended tax returns, Form 1120X,² reflecting carryback of these losses to prior years' income tax returns. On and a Form 1120X for the tax year, reflecting a carryback from the tax year in the amount of \$ This loss was tentatively allowed by the appeals division of the Internal Revenue Service in its consideration of the taxpayer's through tax years.³
The underlying reason for the taxpayer's Forms 1139 and Forms 1120X stems from the allocation of revenue and expense between the and audited the and income tax returns of the Company and proposed adjustments to the income and revenue allocations made by the taxpayer. The allocation issue was raised on two previous occasions covering the through the tax years and resolved at the adjustments proposed for the through tax years and, once again, filed for consideration.
The taxpayer's income tax return was examined by the Internal Revenue Service (Service) and the amount of the loss was adjusted. On the taxpayer timely filed an amended return, Form 1120X, increasing the loss (NOL) for that year to Contact the taxpayer then filed another Form 1120X for reflecting the NOL at \$1000 to 1000 to
Based on the information provided to our office, it appears the taxpayer filed these as a protective measure, dating back to
The Audit Statement to the report prepared by the appeals division specifically stated as follows: "THE TENTATIVE ALLOWANCES ATTRIBUTABLE TO SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED SCHEDULE No. 1 ARE SUBJECT TO CORRECTION UPON DETERMINATION OF THE CORRECT AMOUNT OF THE CARRYBACKS."
Based on a Consent to Extend the Time to Assess Tax, Form 872, the statute of limitations was extended to
Our office did not receive a Form 872 extending the statute between and Form 872 was obtained for that time period, as our office received a Form 872 executed in extending the statute until extending the statute until leave the statute of the statute until extending the statute between extending the statute and extending the statute until extending the statute between extending the statute between extending the statute and extending the statute until extending the statute until extending the statute until extending the statute until extending the statute and extending the statute until

In the Service's appeals division resolved the examination of the tax year and determined the loss for that year to be \$ The resolution included a provision for the taxpayer to file refund claims for certain issues, including the revenue and expense allocation issue.
On the taxpayer timely filed amended returns for the and tax years ⁶ , carrying back the NOL from The Form 1120X reflected a loss of \$ and the Form 1120X reflected a loss of \$ and the The Form 1120X re
On allocation issue, providing full correlative relief to the taxpayer. Based on this determination, the Service determined the NOL should be increased to \$. Accordingly, exam determined the carryback loss, consisting entirely of specified liability losses, should be increased to \$. and the carryback loss, taking into consideration the tentative allowance of \$. should be increased to \$. Since the statute of limitations for expired on exam requested our advice with regard to whether the adjustments
may be made.
DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
Internal Revenue Code section 6403(a) provides that in the case of an

Internal Revenue Code section 6402(a) provides that in the case of an overpayment, the Secretary, within the applicable period of limitations, may credit the amount of such overpayment against any outstanding liability for any internal revenue tax owed by the person who made the overpayment and shall refund the balance to such person.

As a general rule, I.R.C. § 6511(a) provides that a claim for credit or refund must be filed by the taxpayer within three years from the time the return was filed or two years from the time the tax was paid, whichever expires later.

Internal Revenue Code section 6511(b)(1) provides that no credit or refund may be allowed or made after the expiration of the period of limitation for filing a claim for credit or refund, unless such claim is filed by the taxpayer within the period prescribed in I.R.C. § 6511(a).

Treasury Regulation section 301.6402-2(b)(1) provides that no refund or credit will be allowed after the expiration of the period of limitations applicable to the filing of claim therefor except upon one or more of the grounds set forth in a claim filed before the expiration of such period. The claim must set forth in detail each ground upon which a credit or refund is claimed and facts sufficient to apprize the Commissioner of the exact basis of the claim.

⁶ Based on the Form 872 provided to our office, the statute for extended to

Internal Revenue Code § 6511(d)(2) provides that if the claim for credit or refund is attributable to a net operating loss carryback, then in lieu of the 3 year period of limitation prescribed in I.R.C. § 6511(a), "the period shall be that period which ends 3 years after the time prescribed by law for filing the return (including extensions thereof) for the taxable year of the net operating loss ... which results in such carryback, or the period prescribed in subsection (c) in respect of such taxable year, whichever expires later."

Pursuant to I.R.C. § 6511(c), if an agreement is made to extend the statute of limitations, as provided by I.R.C. § 6501(c)(4), then the period for filing a claim for credit or refund shall not expire prior to six months after the date set forth in the agreement.

Based on the information provided to our office, it appears the taxpayer's claims for refund were timely filed.⁷ The last Form 872 executed by the taxpayer extended the period of limitations until at least were filed within that time period. Thus, since the determination reached by the resulted in an increase to the taxpayer's NOL for the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer's NOL for the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer's NOL for the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer's NOL for the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer's NOL for the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer's NOL for the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer may now, after expiration of the statute, amend the Forms 1120X filed for the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period. Thus, since the period to the filed within that time period the filed within that time period. Thus, since the taxpayer is not period to the filed within that time period the filed within that time period

In general, a timely filed claim for refund may be amended after the period of limitations for filing a claim has expired when the amendment is based on the same facts stated in the original claim and requires no additional investigation. Mutual Assurance, Inc. v. United States, 56 F.3d 1353 (11th Cir. 1995), acq. in result only, AOD 1999-104; Ideal Basic Industries v. Commissioner, 404 F.2d 122 (10th Cir. 1968); and Reynolds v. United States, 92-2 USTC ¶ 50,347 (E.D. Wis. 1992). No amendment of the claim for refund is allowed, however, after final action is taken by the Service. Cf. United States v. Memphis Cotton Oil Co., 288 U.S. 62 (1932). The amendment must simply clarify matters in the original claim.

In the instant case, any purported amendment to the and claims by the taxpayer would simply be to increase the amounts of the NOL carryback. The claims would not be raising any new issues, as they will be based on the allocation for revenue and expenses. Although the Service tentatively allowed the loss carryback, such action was clearly not intended to be the Service's final determination for that year. Accordingly, and given the fact the Service was aware of the taxpayer's request for the taxpayer may amend these claims by increasing the amount of the NOL carryback.

⁷ The claim, Form 1120X, for the tax year does not seek a refund.

^{*} To date, no amendments have been filed by the taxpayer for the and and 1120X forms filed for each year in

This advice is subject to post review by our National Office and should not be relied upon for a period of 30 days.

We hope this is fully responsive to your request for our advice. Should you have any questions or require any further assistance, please feel free to contact the undersigned at (313) 237-6432.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

This writing may contain privileged information. Any unauthorized disclosure of this writing may have an adverse effect on privileges, such as the attorney client privilege. If disclosure becomes necessary, please contact this office for our views.

Associate Area Counsel (Large and Mid-Size Business)

By :______ MESO T. HAMMOUD Attorney (LMSB)